Handwriting Reveals Visual Memory Perceptual Changes

Through Whole-Brain Accelerated Learning Activation

Jan Kuyper Erland

The Special Education Advisor October 2010 award article follows this summary

Abstract: This report documents rapid visual perceptual change that occurred after just ten, twenty, and twenty-four hours of daily, intensive, accelerated learning intervention applying prosodic choral speaking with puppet models over a period of years, beginning in 1981. Early, then routinely administered, versions of the <u>Detroit</u> <u>Tests of Learning Aptitude</u>, (versions 1 & 2) were the instrument measures. A series of standardized test measurement's written answers depicted higher mental organization revealed through handwriting samples.

This report documents visual perceptual changes with individuals of various ages, and at what incremental intervention points they occurred following intensive Accelerated Learning (AL) instruction. It is important to stress that penmanship, as such, was not being taught, but rather focus, visual patterning, visual-auditory sequential memory, and attentional modeling through Bandura's (1977) Social Learning Theory and Accelerated Learning practice (Lozanov, 1978, 1971).

The seven exhibits are a combination of assessment samples from <u>The Detroit Tests of</u> <u>Leaning Aptitude-1 and -2</u>, (DTLA,-1, Baker and Leland, 1935, 1967; revised, DTLA-2, Hammill, 1985) They were outcome measures of verbal and written samples of the DTLA-1 Verbal Opposites/Antonyms #4 subtest (exhibit written samples #s 1 & 4), and the DTLA-2 Visual Memory for Fragments #10 subtest (exhibit written samples #s 3, 5, & 7). Exhibit samples #s 2 & 4 are class spelling written examples and letter sequences.

DTLA-1 subtest #4 (Verbal Opposites/Antonyms) was used as a testing instrument from 1981-1985, and the DTLA-2, with #10, (Visual Memory for Fragments) was used from the early research years 1981 to 2006. To maintain consistency with the on-going data base, the DTLA-2 tests were continued in application even as subsequent DTLA versions were developed.

The DTLA-1 subtest #4 Verbal Opposites/Antonyms was one of nine subtests measured and reported in mental age, then used to calculate intelligence up until the 1985 revision by Hammill. When the DTLA-2 #10 Visual Closure subtest became available, the DTLA-1 subtest Verbal Opposites subtest #4 was eliminated, as it was no longer included with the nationally standardized DTLA-2 cognitive skills battery.

Exhibit 1 is a 1983 referenced notation of the DTLA-1 scores converted to mental ages (M.A.), an early popular measure of age normed performance on an intelligence test (Fencher, 1990). The revised DTLA-2 measured performance on the subtests by standard scores, which was more reliable than converting to mental age scores used in the DTLA-1. Raw scores are transferred to standard scores that establish a common subtest mean score with a standard deviation. Because standard scores provide equivalent indices for each subtest, they are comparable. (Hammill, 1985, p. 50).

Instead of nine DTLA-1 subtests needing to be administered to obtain an intelligence profile, only four DTLA-2 subtests were now required to obtain an intelligence quotient (IQ). Subsequently, these four aptitude subtests were selected and administered at all research sites for all demographic and age groups, as they covered all four of the primary clinical domains recommended for clinical research: Linguistic, Cognitive, Attentional, and Motoric.: Word Fragments (#10), Memory for Auditory Words (#6), Visual Memory for Letters (#16) and Oral Directions (#18). Intelligence and subtest notations regarding these tests are itemized on exhibits 5 and 6.

The Word Fragments (#10) subtest is a representation of mutilated words, or by which visual elements are missing. Since simple words easily become over-learned, the person should automatically recognize them if parts are missing, a visual closure function, or the ability to see the right-brain figure against the background. A deficiency in this cognitive ability area is conducive to reading difficulties.

The student is asked to repeat them individually and privately aloud after the samples are presented, but in this research instance, they additionally wrote them on paper to create documenting hard copy of their verbal responses and the overt changes that were taking place.

The answers were never disclosed or rehearsed at any time from pre-to posttest. Following the accelerated learning prosody and puppets training, there was consistent marked improvement in the students' ability to see and understand the right-brain detail and closure on the mutilated words. What they had not been able to read before, now they could.

It is important to document that these changes were occurring with the first original 1980s training sets, thereby indicating the original choral speaking and modeling paradigm methodology could be creating the outcome. This hypothesis was later verified by Erland's (1999) research report whereby a 7th grade gifted experimental classroom utilized the practice worksheets and written materials, but eliminated the video-tape puppets and rehearsal choral speaking, and outcome results were not obtained. An experimental average ability sixth grade class implementing the methodology correctly, received twice the academic achievement scores, over the gifted classroom.

The perceptual changes on the written auxiliary Visual Word Fragments (#10) subtests were immediately apparent, and came by surprise when the updated DTLA-2 testing was begun in 1985. Although visual memory cognitive skills improvement pre- to posttest changes appeared in nearly every case, the handwriting changes appeared intermittingly, yet often enough to motivate this researcher to continue applying and measuring this unique methodology. Interestingly, early mental reorganization changes appeared soon in the treatment phases, after just ten- to-twenty hours of consistent intensive application.

The investigative work encompassed a variety of ages from children age ten, to teens and adults. Four classrooms' of written samples of the DTLA-2 #10 Memory for Word Fragments

subtests formed a book of assessment outcome examples, which included three fourth grades and a fifth grade classroom plus some of these early individual samples.

The intervention included daily intensive rehearsal right- and left-brain verbal and visualization rehearsal (Bell, 1991; Erland, 1989, Paivio, 1986) with a variety of models (Bandura, 1977, 1971; Erland, 1989). Each exercise shifted multiple times from right to left to whole brain activity, which included rhythmic choral speaking and self-talk (Bandura, 1981, Erland, 1989, Meichenbaum, 1979). Without twenty-four hours of intensive training with this methodology, these changes would be most unlikely to occur, and have not been seen in other research studies. (see figure 1. Erland, 1986, 1988)

Not only visual closure improved, but visual memory for letter sequencing shows marked change in ten-twelve- to- twenty hours' time in both the 15- and 48-day formats. The following seven examples are a small sampling of those in a wide data base.

Baker, H. J. and Leland, B. (1965, 1935). <u>The Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude-1.</u> Indianapolis, IN. Bobbs-Merrill.

Bandura, A. (1977, 1971). Social Learning Theory. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.

Bell, N. (1991). Visualization and verbalization for language comprehension. Paso Robles, CA: NBI Pub.

Erland, J. (Fall 1999). Brain-based accelerated learning and cognitive skills training using interactive media expedites high academic achievement. Journal of Accelerative Learning and Teaching, 24, (3 & 4). 1-100

Erland, J. (Spring 1989) Retraining cognitive abilities: A report on thinking and memory improvement combining Suggestopedia with Cognitive Behavior Modification (CBM) for ages 10-55. <u>The Journal of Accelerative Learning and Teaching</u>. <u>14</u>, (1). 3-42.

Erland, J. (1986). Step-by-step teacher's right and left-brain instructions, based upon the Memory Retainer. Lawrence, Kansas. Copyrighted February 22, 1988, Txu 319-625.

Fencher, R. (1990). Pioneers of Psychology. New York: Norton.

Hammill, D. D. (1985, 1998). Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude-2. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.

Lozanov, G. (1978, 1971) Suggestology and outlines of Suggestopedy. New York: Gordon & Breach.

Meichenbaum, D. (1991, 1978). Cognitive behavior modification: An integrative approach. New York: Plenum Press.

Paivio, A. (1986). Mental representations: A dual coding approach. New York: Oxford University Press.



Exhibit 3 Pre Post Pro-test DH. D.H. 37. gellow-39. pretty 31. in 1. stor DTLA # 10 subject Word Ivagnina Visual cleance 2. the 32 toother why there 3. 4. 33. 5 34 laugh around do 35. G. 7. people her amay 5 MARTINE 34. A 110 8. Ever avery people 37. 4 W putte 78. Sec. 10. 8 \$9. 11 trds q little 12. 13 morning 14. to 12 night 16 Deme 12 スモント morning \mathbf{Z}_{2} 18 while 20 pure 21 door 17 16-year old male, 18 six ? ser 22 should 23 of 23 of 25 funny 26 no 24-hours of intensive 19 while door b 20 drilling creates fluid left-21 brain sequencing ability 22 some 27. good 28 and on the DTLA-2 #10 2301 29 mo we 30 dict ' be Word Fragments subtest 24 01 funny 25 22 togethu 22 togethu 22 togethu 23 eutheth 24 tonoch 26/10 27 boo 28 and 28 are 30 dis 31 Domething 31 do 30 lecoure 3г. Exhibit 4 +84 Ľ 0-42 2.2. 8 pos 18.6 M.A. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$ 25 +65 ما. ما**ر** ag 27.8 passive true hote cursa end Peperate +134 Juan forget aFter shallow 1 ngly Alghy Concare ' falts ? ! Shollow freash Fresh easy - Brinet easy superior Brunette ! shorten Preseast 1 different Cooked 1 Vacqn+ cheep pistimite Lengthen Short different 1 che fail Destroy cooked Freedom free aggressive exit ' good ۱ sport enit Autocon truthFul before 1 truthful encept before dull borrow dull barrow mornul A 27-year old agressive day male college ggrusive Enimy morning student after 15 tevide ening" | divide ! days of intensive training, 1984, tome on the DTLA-1, tame #4, Antonyms simple A into subtest. Failer

6

A 10-year old 4th grade male ate: Beat - Circle: Or Postest Name student after 12 days of intensive RAW SCORES v isuai Ciosure training. Note below: +33 IQ 67 24 1. stop pts. improvement on the DTLA-25.MO 2the 2, #10 Word Fragments subtest. 26 ahr Vis Closure (16) Pretest = 92; posttest = 125.supe anna Day 1 1. there 28 which at left s. around 29 Tecar pepple 92 *41B Predict IQ DATT BLE 33 16 Jas POST IQ 51 30 16 33 ats Dith post .144 8.el #8 Wambes ning 1) today, 38,72/ZC way 15. L.M. TOAL 16.20 me 40. supap 12) car ismon etter Sequences 18. Dik reppener 19 unare 20-20176 2)432972 3)687514 94/386 2 pl 1) 279234 415786 a OP 683149 432972 687514 941386 Exhibit 5, Circle: Pretest of Jate: Visual Closure Words Achievement ITBS Scores 1296-1987 progression, 1. Dtop RAW SCORES: Days 1, 12, 46 24 lie + 14 lie ers crain 25 funny POST 2. the 6. E. pre H10 68 Readin 4.3 5.8 composite 3. why comere 213 194 228 26.5.00 4. there Vis Closure (30) s. around epts 4.2 55 189 204 6. people 28. r. always 29. MC 4.8 125 30. Aid 8. every ŝ۵ The same 4th grade male 9. high 31. student's academic achievement 32, 10. play pre- and posttest standard and 33 which 11. today G. E. scores after 24 hours of 12.little 34intensive training. Note science 13. morning +30 35.do improvement from 4.8 to 12.5 14.to-36.-G.E. in one semester's time. 15 sight 37. Two year's improvement shows 16 Dome -38. on the composite. 17 what 39. in Letter Sequences 18. Six 19. while 20. Dure 21.000 L 22 23.00

28 Exhibit 6, Day 1 BLA Exhibit 6, Day 28 rogram Ryan rough 33 17_ 45 5th giad BTA tactor with despite beside knowled 20 55 38 Cold, contert, socia knowledge, playing circum. 3 CCP problems confederate demonstrate ana lcp 2pcc 11027 6'18DI 6cb A 5th grade 11-year old 1100276 Зсь boy's notable change after 14-16 hours of lec intensive training. 1sm+ 1123746 traw co +23 pts. IQ increase on 3 lig Spritz the DTLA-2, #10 subtest. Shardbe GChseck 90122151 Raw pre-post scores for 4000000 alltogo four subtests are on the 90122109 top left page. ham 1 Cheks 3 Straw gel 4812 15 2395 19 C COFF-6K 1038 12 1 mt 1585 19 all togo 12 15 3153 435 Exhibit 7, post Exhibit 7, pre

Date: Sept. 30 Circle Pretest or Postest Nam 23.Of RAW SCORES: Visual Closure 1. stop 25. finnaly 2. the 26 mo 3. why Vis Closure (27) 27-4. Hhone 28.and s. around 29.77 6. people 30. did 7. always 63 31. 8. every 32. together 2 high 39 35.wich 10. play 12 34 11 roday 35. +2 12 little 36. becare 13. morming 37. yellow 14. *t*O 38. forty 15. right 39. M 16. some 40. 17. what 18_six KZwhall dnv hxntiuub murf EWK 21 0000 fincly btzrc 22 should mrzty Uf pazz abxau

Nam	ate: Jan, 24, 97 Circle: Pretest or Postest
Visual Closure Words	
1. stop	RAW SCORES:
2. the	24. USC
3. July	25. Hummy +
1. there	26. MD
s. around	27. 0000 +
o people	28. DING
7. Alwaya	29. We
8. every	30. Duo
9. high	31. BUTTIOLIU TO
10. play	32. Togetture 431-
11. today	
12. little	A 4 th grade 10-year
13. mozing	35. OF Old remale's pre- to
14. 20	36. Decouver the DTL A-2 #10
15. right	37. mellow Word Fragments
15. DOML	38. pretty subtest after
17. what	39. 100 Letter Sequence 48-hours of peer
18. DIN	modeling and
19. while	prosodic choral
20-DURP	speaking training.
21. door	
22. should	
23. D	

INTENSIVE SENSORY INTEGRATION INSTRUCTION TRANSFORMS HANDWRITING"

By Jan Kuyper Erland

Published October 17, 2010, Specialeducationadvisor.com Won "Top Ten Most Viewed Articles, 2010"

A recent Wall Street Journal article, "How Handwriting Trains the Brain" ⁱ could conversely be stated that "Brain Training Changes Handwriting." Technically speaking, increased and retrained brain activity can transform handwriting following twenty hours of intensive multi-sensory integration instruction.

<u>What is Multi-Sensory Integration</u>? Sensory integration can be defined as a successful combination of the visual, auditory, and tactile input processes to the brain. Early pioneer researcher and occupational therapist, Anna Jean Ayres, (1920–1989) ⁱⁱ wrote several books on the topic describing how deficits in sensory perception blocked informational input to the brain inhibiting motor output.

Her forward-thinking work stirred controversy for a number of years. She wrote, quoted in the 1980s, Wikipedia, ⁱⁱⁱ "It has not been easy for the helping professions to conceive of human behavior as an express of the brain, and they are still struggling to do so." Unfortunately, these brain-learning, theory-practice amalgams remain today.

Which Cognitive Abilities are Required for Handwriting and Written Communication? Handwriting requires right-brain visual closure and spatial perceptual ability, with left-brain sequencing of letters combined with fine motor coordination.^{iv} The connection of visual (seeing) and auditory (listening) learning are required for *understanding*, or the "integration of information." ^v

<u>Was Penmanship Taught?</u> It is important to note that penmanship was not trained in my classes; per se. Students were instructed to "Think, Say, Do," following the renowned Bandura's 1971, ^{vi} Social Learning Theory, and the Gillingham & Stillman early reading-phonics multi-sensory model, 1970, which later became the recognized Orton-Gillingham Dyslexia training program.^{vii}

<u>Can Visual and Auditory Abilities Be Reliably Measured through Formal and Informal Assessments?</u> Recognized norm-referenced, valid and reliable cognitive skills test batteries readily measure these sensory processing areas, <u>The Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude</u> (DTLA) v. 1, 2 Visual Closure, Letters Sequences,, Auditory Memory for Words, and Oral Directions subtests; v. 3, & 4 subtests came later., (Hammill, 1985;^{viii} Baker and Leland, 1967, 1935, ^{ix} Pro-Ed). Additionally, Visual and auditory memory subtests from the <u>Woodcock-Johnson Psycho-Educational Battery</u> (1978) were also applied to obtain student baselines.^x

When I first began testing and retraining cognitive abilities in 1980,^{xi} it became an ongoing incubation project covering many years of test-teach-test-publish iterations applying my puppetry and choral speech methodology to these recognized research and practice models. The sensory integration interventions revealed pre-posttest training change on the visual closure and letter sequencing DTLA subtests, beginning in 1981 following my program instructional interventions.

<u>Can Handwriting Change Reliably Indicate Changes in Learning Capability</u>? Notable handwriting changes were consistently and immediately evident with a perceptual "turning point" after twenty hours of daily, intensive, multi-sensory training. Fourth and fifth grade students with additional adult pre-to-posttest handwriting and testing cumulative compilations exist, documenting perceptual and fine motor change.

With school classroom 48-Day, 24-hours of prescribed sensory integration implementation, following the same twenty hours of media-based instruction, revealed improved perception, thought, handwriting, and test-taking.

One experimental study evidenced posttest change with one-two-year marked longitudinal student improvement with two classrooms of low-achieving/low auditory processing fourth graders on the <u>Iowa</u> <u>Tests of Basic Skills CogAT</u> Quantitative (pretest 58%-posttest 71%; 2-yr. 70%) and Nonverbal (pretest 59%-posttest 72%; 2-yr. Long 76%) areas. (<u>Iowa Tests of Basic Skills, CogAT</u>^{xii} and Erland, J. K. 2000, ^{xiii} p.20). The <u>CogAT</u> test was externally administered by the school and scored by the Princeton Educational Testing Service (ETS). These results have a high correlation with reading comprehension and mathematical learning. Individual student three-year <u>CogAT</u> trending is on pp. 22-23 of this published report (Erland, 2000).

Early on, it was determined through continuous, in-depth assessment and monitoring of all levels of learners and ages; children, business adults, and college students, that most individuals have information processing weaknesses or cognitive gaps ranging from mild- to- moderate- to- severe. And, unidentified, they are forced to cope with them.

Seeing continuous formal assessment outcome success, the ongoing research was continuously documented (1989-2000) in a scientific publication, <u>The Journal of Accelerated Learning and Teaching</u>. Needing a nominal reference for this research intervention, the edutainment methodology of using puppetry and choral speech was given the name: *The Bridge to Achievement*[®] (The BTA). The accompanying continuous formal assessment regulated that trained students were not merely "motivated', or thus transformed through positive thinking, but had outcomes of improved reading and math scores. ^{xiv} Yet, this overt handwriting transformation also operated as positive personal feedback and as an incentive for learners to "keep trying."

To eliminate the possible motivational contamination of using puppets as "novel stimuli," an eleven classroom experimental study was conducted using an "alternate media activity" for the control groups.

<u>Discovering Learning Issues</u>: Problems in these cognitive and fine motor areas show up in the early grades when basic skills are initially taught, indicating visual perceptual difficulties or directed as ADHD. While many children are formally referred and tested for Special Education from classroom observations, many are not, and subsequently fall through the cracks, missing important inter-sensory training during the critical early years.

Parents should show advocacy and watch for faulty handwriting symptoms and seek professional guidance and direction. Ignoring these critical perceptual symptoms, leads to a life-time of potential auxiliary written communication set-backs and other social-educational learning issues.

Another recent Sped Advisor article by Claire Nissenbaum, M.A., "Messy Handwriting is a Predictor of ADHD in Girls," ^{xvi} also indicates perceptual-penmanship red flags, because boys have spatial and coordination advantage over girls, Durden-Smith and DeSimone, 1984.^{xvii} Yet, boys outnumber girls in

Special Education referrals and many parents do not want labeling stigma, "Once In, Never Out." p. 115 Turnbull, Stowe, Huerta, 2007.^{xviii}

The bottom line is that perceptual and fine motor skill problems, as evidenced in handwriting samples, can be retrained through cognitive skill sensory integration instruction. Many well-known programs have existed for some time that offers this type of training in varying methodology formats and time requirements, obtaining a range of outcome results.

¹/ Bounds, G. (October 5, 2010). How handwriting trains the brain. <u>The Wall Street Journal. Health and</u> <u>Wellness</u>. D1

^{*ii*} Ayres, J. A. (1972). <u>Sensory integration and learning disorders</u>. Los Angeles: Western Psychological Corporation.

ⁱⁱⁱ Wikipedia: Anna Jean Ayres biography.

^{iv} Reid, D. K., & Hresko, W. P. (1981). <u>A cognitive approach to learning disabilities</u>. New York: McGraw Hill.pp.16-17.

^v Hessler, G. (1982). Use and interpretation of the Woodcock-Johnson psycho-educational battery. Hingham, MA: Teaching Resources.

^{vi} Bandura, A. K. (1971). <u>Social learning theory</u>. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press

^{vii} Gillingham, A., & Stillman, B. W. (1970). <u>Remedial training for children with specific disability in reading, spelling, and penmanship</u>. Cambridge, MA: Educators Publishing Service, Inc.

viii Hammill, D. D. (1985). <u>Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude-2</u>. Austin, TX: Pro-Ed.

^{ix} Baker, H. & Leland, B. (1967). <u>Detroit Tests of Learning Aptitude - 1.</u> Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill.

^{*} Woodcock, R. W. (1978). Development and standardization of the Woodcock-Johnson psycho-educational battery. Higham, MA: Teaching Resources Corp.

^{xi} Erland, J. K. (1980). Vicarious modeling using peers and puppets with learning disabled adolescents in following oral directions. Unpublished master's thesis. University of Kansas, Lawrence.

^{xii} Riverside 2000. (1994). Iowa Tests of Basic Skills Integrated Assessment Program, Technical Summary I. Chicago, IL: The Riverside Publishing Co.(a subsidiary of Houghton Mifflin Harcourt)

xⁱⁱⁱ Erland, J. K. (Fall, 2000). Brain-Based accelerated learning longitudinal study revealed subsequent high academic achievement gain for low-achieving, low-cognitive skill fourth grade students. <u>25</u>, (3&4).

^{xiv} Erland, J. K. (1994). Video-taped instruction creates listening and visual memory integration for higher reading and math scores. Journal of the Society for Accelerative Learning and Teaching, <u>19</u>, (2), 155-227.

^{xv} Erland, J. K. (Fall, 1999). Brain-Based accelerated learning and cognitive skills training using interactive media expedites high academic achievement. Journal of Accelerative Learning and Teaching, <u>24</u>, (3&4).

^{xvi} Nissenbaum, C. (September 30, 2010). "Messy Handwriting is a Predictor of ADHD in Girls," Special Education Advisor; The IEP and Special Education Social Network.

^{xvii} Durden-Smith and DeSimone, D. (1984) <u>Sex and the Brain</u>. New York: Warner Books.

^{xviii} Turnbull, H.R., Stowe, M.J., and Huerta, N.E. (2007). <u>Free Appropriate Public Education</u>. Denver: Love Publishing.